SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

To "Tail and Center Rounding of Probabilistic Expectations in the Health and Retirement Study"

Supplementary Appendix to Section 3

SA3 Exploratory Analysis of Response Patterns Across Questions and Waves in the HRS

Since 2002 the HRS has devoted an entire section of its core questionnaire to measurement of respondents' expectations in the domains of personal health, personal finances, and general economic conditions. Table S1 shows the questions, organized by domain and the waves in which they were asked.

The number of questions per wave ranges between 22 in 2002 and 38 in 2006. Most questions are in the personal finances domain (between 11 and 23 per wave, 31 overall), followed by the personal health domain (between 3 and 9 per wave, 10 overall), and the domain of general economic conditions (between 2 and 7 per wave, 12 overall). A subset of 12 questions across the three domains were asked in all waves.

As documented in Table S2, the number of responses varies across questions and waves, ranging from about 5,000 to 30,000 responses per question in each wave. The variation across questions stems from the fact that the HRS makes extensive use of skip sequencing. Thus, whether a respondent is asked a specific question depends on the previous answers given by the respondent and on whether the event specified by the question is relevant to the respondent.

The total number of responses generated by a question across the seven waves varies because questions have been added and removed over time. It also varies due to changes in sample composition across waves. The HRS sample has periodically been augmented with new cohorts of respondents who joined the study in specific waves. Respondents exit the study due to attrition or death.

SA3.1 Temporal Stability of Response Tendencies

We start by investigating the empirical distributions of responses to each of the questions listed in Table S1 above separately for each wave between 2002 and 2014. To reduce length, in Table S3 we present the response patterns for a subset of 9 questions in different domains. We focus on questions that were asked in at least 4 waves.

For each of the 9 questions selected and for each of the waves in which those questions were posed, the columns of Table S3 show the fractions of respondents: who do not respond (NR); who respond 0, 50, or 100; who respond with any other multiple of 10 percent that is not 0, 50, or 100; who respond with any multiple of 5 percent that is not a multiple of 10 percent; and who respond in two ranges of multiples of 1 percent that are not multiples of 5 or 10 percent (i.e., in 1-4 and in 96-99). In the column "Other" we report

the residual fraction of respondents who respond with a multiple of 1 percent that does not lie in the 1-4 or 96-99 range.

By and large, HRS expectations questions feature low rates of item nonresponse in the personal health and personal finances domains (below 0.05) and higher rates of item nonresponse in the general economic conditions domain (typically between 0.05 and 0.10), with peaks of 0.25-0.30 rates of nonresponse to specific questions eliciting respondents' expectations of future performance of the stock market (e.g., see question P47 in Table S3).

The rates of 0, 50, and 100 vary across questions. For example, the fraction of 50 percent responses tends to be higher in the general economic conditions domain, where they range between 0.20 and 0.30, than in the remaining domains. Among the 9 questions shown in Table S3, the fractions of 0 and 100 are highest for specific questions belonging to the personal finances and personal health domains. For example, the fraction of 0 ranges between 0.35 and 0.50 for P14 (probability of losing own job during the next year) and for P32 (probability of moving to a nursing home in 5 years); whereas the fraction of 100 percent is highest for P5 (probability of leaving an inheritance of at least \$10K), ranging between 0.324 and 0.447 across waves.

The high rates of 0, 50, and 100 in response to specific questions do not suggest any particular degree of rounding. For example, responses of 50 percent are consistent with any degree of rounding. Respondents who answered P47 (probability that the mutual fund will increase in value in the next year) might genuinely believe that it is equally likely that the stock market will increase or decrease in value in a 1-year time; they might mean that the chances that the stock market will go up are between 40 and 60 percent; or they might have epistemic uncertainty, using 50 percent to indicate a complete lack of knowledge.

Consistently high fractions of responses across questions and waves are multiples of 10 percent and, to a lesser extent, of 5 percent. For the 9 questions shown in Table S3, the fractions of responses that are multiples of 10 and 5 percent (but not 0, 50, or 100) range respectively between 0.20 and 0.45 and between 0.05 and 0.15 across questions and waves. On the other hand, the fractions of cases where the response takes the value 1-4 or 96-99 are substantially smaller and range respectively between 0.002 and 0.035 and between 0.000 and 0.010 across questions and waves. Responses in the "Other" category occur even more infrequently and usually constitute 0.006 or less of cases.

The main takeaway from Table S3 is that the basic patterns found by Manski and Molinari (2010) using the 2006 data are confirmed for the remaining waves as well. Hence, these patterns are stable across waves.

Table S4 shows the fractions of respondents displaying each of seven mutually exclusive and exhaustive response patterns, progressing left to right from the most rounded to the least rounded. Column 3 gives the fraction of respondents who respond to no questions in the wave, coded in the HRS as "Don't know" or "Refuse." Column 4 gives the fraction of respondents who, when they respond, only use the values 0 and 100 in the corresponding wave. Column 5 gives the fraction who only use the values (0, 50, 100). Columns 6 and 7 give the fractions of respondents who answer at least one question with a multiple of 10 other than (0, 50, 100) and with a multiple of 5 percent that is not a multiple of 10 respectively. Column 8 gives the fraction of respondents who respond to at least one question with a non-round value in 1-4 or 96-99. Column 9, labelled "Some other," gives the fraction who respond at least once with a non-round value in 6-94.

The set of expectations questions varies across waves. The top panel of Table S4 presents a version of the statistics where respondents are classified into one of the seven response patterns using only the twelve questions that were asked in all seven waves. The bottom panel uses the responses to all questions asked in a wave.

A very small fraction of respondents answers none of the questions posed to them. This fraction ranges between 0.009 and 0.027, depending on the set of questions used to classify respondents. Between 0.019 and 0.101 of respondents uses only the values (0, 100). Similar fractions of respondents use only the values (0, 50, 100). Most respondents give at least one answer that is a multiple of 10 different from (0, 50, 100) or a multiple of 5 that is not a multiple of 10. The fraction of respondents who give at least one answer that is a multiple of 10 different from (0, 50, 100) ranges between 0.263 and 0.337 across waves when all questions asked in a wave are used for classification and between 0.392 and 0.458 when only the questions common to all waves are used. Similarly, the fraction of respondents who give at least one answer that is a multiple of 5 but not of 10 ranges between 0.427 and 0.513 when all questions are used for classification and between 0.295 and 0.353 when only the common set is used.

The fractions of respondents who give at least one response in the outer tails (1-4 or 96-99) or nonrounded values in 6-94 are sizeable but considerably smaller, especially the latter. The former fraction ranges between 0.101 and 0.144 when all questions are used for classification and between 0.054 and 0.092 when only the common set is used. The latter fraction ranges between 0.022 and 0.042 or between 0.011 and 0.020, depending on the set of questions used.

SA3.2 Pooling Data across Waves to Probe More Deeply into Response Tendencies

Having established the temporal stability of rounding practices, we pool the HRS data across waves and analyzes response patterns separately by question domain. This greatly increases the number of expectations responses observed per respondent. As shown in Table S5, the average number of responses per respondent across all questions and waves is 106.8. By question domain, this figure ranges from 19.1 for personal health to 66 for personal finances.

In addition to allowing heterogeneous rounding across domains, we now pay particular attention to the location of responses inside the 0-100 scale and learn important features of respondents' response patterns in specific domains. To do so, we partition the 0-100 percent-chance scale as described in Table S6. We define the center (C) of the percent-chance scale to be values in the range 26-74 and the tails (T) to be values in the ranges 0-24 and 76-100. The values 25 and 75 form the boundary between the tail and center. We group responses into nine categories, defined by their presence in T or C and by their degree of granularity. The categories are: V1-T \equiv values in 1-24 or 76-99 that are not multiples of 5; V1-C \equiv values in 26-74 that are not multiples of 5; V5-T \equiv {5, 15, 85, 95}; V5-C \equiv {35, 45, 55, 65}; V10-T \equiv {10, 20, 80, 90}; V10-C \equiv {30, 40, 60, 70}; V25 \equiv {25, 75}; V100 \equiv {0, 100}; V50 \equiv {50}.

With this categorization, Table S7 shows the distribution of responses across respondents for all expectation questions asked between 2002 and 2014. Comparison of the frequencies of V25 responses (in column 5) with the frequencies of the remaining V5 responses (V5-C in column 9 and V5-T in column 8) reveals that the fraction of {25, 75} responses is always higher than the fraction of responses ending in 5 in the center of the scale ({35, 45, 55, 65}). For most questions across the three domains, the fraction of {25, 75} responses is higher than the fraction of responses ending in 5 in the tails of the scale ({5, 15, 85, 95}). Even more striking is comparison of the frequencies of responses in the tails versus those in the center. The fractions of V10, V5, and V1 responses in the tails are higher than the corresponding fractions in the center for nearly all questions in Table S7 (but P47 and P190).

Supplementary Appendix to Section 4

SA4.1 Determination of Respondent Rounding Types

Table S8 presents in a formal and compact way the complete algorithm used to determine a respondent's rounding type in the center of the 0-100 scale (panel A) and in its tails (panel B) within a given question domain. Specifically, Table S8A maps all logically possible response tendencies that may be observed in the center of the 0-100 scale into corresponding center rounding types. Table S8B maps all logically possible response tendencies that may be observed in the tails of the 0-100 scale into corresponding tail rounding types. For each question domain, each respondent is assigned a bivariate (tails, center) rounding

type belonging to the cross product of the tail and center rounding types listed in the two panels of Table S8. Both panels make use of the partition of the 0-100 scale described in Table S7.

In Sub-section 4.1, we present an example where a respondent is observed to answer four expectations questions in the domain of personal finances. The respondent's answers are $\{5, 30, 60, 85\}$. As the set includes 2 multiples of 5 percent in the tails and 2 multiples of 10 percent in the center, the respondent is classified as rounding to the nearest 5 percent *or finer degree* in the tails (M5-T) and to the nearest 10 percent *or finer degree* in the center (M10-C).

We now discuss additional cases to further illustrate the logic of our proposed algorithm. Let us first consider an alternative scenario where the respondent is asked an additional question in the domain of personal finances and answers it with a value in the center that is either a multiple of 10 percent or 50 percent. Under this scenario, our conclusion about the respondent's rounding type in the center for the finances domain does not change. If, on the other hand, the respondent were to answer the additional question with a multiple of 5 percent in the center, our conclusion might change as it would depend on the respondent's response pattern in the two domains other than personal finances. For example, if in a second domain (say personal health), the respondent gave at least one center response that is a multiple of 5 percent or finer (i.e., a multiple of 1 percent), then the respondent would be classified as rounding to the nearest 5 percent (rather than 10 percent) in the center within the personal finances domain.

Moving now to the tails, let us imagine that the respondent is asked an additional question in the class of personal finances and answers it with a value in the tails that is a multiple of 5 percent, a multiple of 10 percent, or a focal response of 0 or 100. In this case, our conclusion about the respondent's rounding type in the tails for the finances domain does not change. If, on the other hand, the respondent were to answer the additional question with a multiple of 1 percent in the tails, our conclusion might change depending on the respondent's response pattern in the other two domains. Specifically, if in a second domain (say general economic conditions), the respondent gave at least one response — either in the tails or in the center — that is a multiple of 1 percent, then the respondent would be classified as rounding to the nearest 1 percent in the tails within the personal finances domain.

SA4.2 Variation of Rounding Types with Respondent Characteristics

Before describing how probability intervals are formed based on respondents' point responses and their inferred rounding types, we investigate whether the latter vary systematically by respondents' characteristics. To this end, in Section 4.2 we estimate three bivariate ordered probit models, one per question domain, where the outcome variables are the respondent's bivariate vectors of tail and center

rounding categories in the corresponding domains and the predictors are respondent's gender, age, educational attainment, race, and cognitive score.

Here we provide additional estimates from a specification that excludes cognitive scores. These estimates are shown in Table S10. We do so as we believe that this part of our analysis may yield useful information about likely characteristics of respondents that are associated with coarser or more refined rounding behavior to researchers who analyze survey expectations but do not have access to: (a) a sufficiently large number of expectations questions per respondent to directly apply our method; (b) a sufficiently rich or specialized set of relevant covariates as in the HRS.

The main patterns are analogous to those observed in the specification including cognitive scores. In particular, higher levels of educational attainment are still unambiguously and statistically significantly associated with a tendency to give more refined responses (less rounding) across all scale segments and question domains. Similarly, the dummies continue to display a non-linear effect. Respondents belonging to the oldest cohort category (80+) have a statistically significant tendency to give more rounded responses than respondents belonging to the youngest one (50-59) across all scale segments and questions domains. On the other hand, respondents in the two intermediate cohort groups (i.e., 60-69 and 70-79) belong to rounding categories that may be more refined, coarser, or statistically indistinguishable from those characterizing respondents from younger cohorts, depending on the specific domain or scale segment. Gender and race continue to features a somewhat mixed pattern. As before, rounding tendencies are positively correlated across scale segments. Hence, respondents who give coarser responses in the tails are more likely to do so in the center and viceversa.

SA4.3 Using Survey Responses and Rounding Types to Form Expectations Intervals

Table S11 (making use of the partition of the 0-100 scale described in Table S7) presents in a formal and compact way the complete portion of the algorithm used to assign intervals to observed point responses in the scale tails (panel A) and in the its center (panel B) within a given domain. Specifically, Table S11A maps all logically possible rounding types and responses that may be observed in the tails of the 0-100 scale into corresponding tail intervals. Similarly, Table S11B maps all logically possible rounding types and responses that may be observed in the tails of the 0-100 scale into corresponding tail intervals.

We apply the algorithm described in Table S11 to all responses by HRS respondents who responded to at least one expectations question in any question domain and in any wave between 2002 and 2014. For the purpose of constructing the intervals, respondents who were classified as rounding more coarsely in

the tails than in the center are now treated as respondents who were classified as rounding to the same degree in the tails and in the center.

Building on the example introduced in Sub-section 4.1, in Sub-section 4.3 we explain how to assign probability intervals to the respondents' point responses. Here we discuss additional cases to further illustrate the logic of our algorithm, particularly the application of the boundary conditions in construction of the intervals.

Let us first consider a case where the respondent is asked an additional question (relative to the example discussed in Section 4.1) and were observed to answer with a multiple of 1 percent in the tails (say 2 percent). The respondent is still classified as $\mathcal{M}5$ -T in the tails, as long as they did not use any multiple of 1 percent to answer questions in the remaining domains. Under this scenario, construction of the interval around 2 percent requires a "boundary condition," whereby the lower bound of the assigned interval cannot be smaller than 0 percent. Hence, if the respondent were observed to respond with 2 percent to one question in the finances domain, while still being classified as $\mathcal{M}5$ -T, 2 percent would be assigned the interval [0, 4.5] or [max(0, 2 - 2.5), 2 + 2.5]. In the right tail of the scale, a response of 98 percent would be handled symmetrically and would be assigned a range of [95.5, 100] or [98 - 2.5, min(100, 98 + 2.5)].

Let us now consider an alternative scenario where the respondent is asked two additional questions in the personal finances domain and is observed to answer both of them with a multiple of 1 percent in the tails (say 2 percent and 98 percent). We now classify the respondent as $\mathcal{M}1$ -T. Under this scenario, all of the respondent's tail answers in the personal finances domain are taken at face value. Hence, 2 percent is assigned the range [2, 2], 5 percent is assigned the range [5, 5], and so on. Finally, regardless of the respondent's rounding type, any NR is assigned an interval of [0, 100].

Let us now entertain a final situation where the respondent's highest response in the left tail is 24 percent. In this case, the boundary condition to the left of 30 might bind, depending on the respondent's rounding type in the tails. Specifically, if the respondent is still $\mathcal{M}5$ -T — as it would happen if 24 percent were the only multiple of 1 percent (but not of 5 percent) used by the respondent in any domain — then the boundary condition to the left of 30 percent would bind, since 24 + 2.5 > 30 - 5. In this case, the probability interval assigned to the response of 30 percent in the center would be [26.5, 35] instead of [25, 35]. On the other hand, if the respondent were classified to be $\mathcal{M}1$ -T — as it would happen if they gave a second response, in addition to 24 percent, that is a multiple of 1 percent (but not of 5 percent) in any domain — then the boundary condition to the left of 30 percent, would not bind, since 24 < 30 - 5.

Table S12 reports the distributions of interval width for the responses given in wave 2014 to the following three questions: the percent chance that the respondent will live to be 75 or older (P28), the percent chance that the respondent will work full time past age 62 (P17), and the percent chance that a mutual fund will increase in value within the next year (P47).

The distribution of interval width for the probability of working past 62 displayed in the middle column of the table displays higher frequencies at lower width values than the distributions shown in the remaining columns, consistent with the pattern shown in Table 3 of the main text.

SA4.4 Validation of the Algorithm

The specific criteria for consistency of the 2016 response with the inferred type is as follows.

- Validity in the Tails V100 responses are consistent with all rounding types but Undetermined-T. V10-T responses are consistent with all rounding types in {*M*10-T, *M*5-T, *M*1-T}. V5-T responses are consistent with rounding types *M*5-T and *M*1-T. V1-T responses are consistent with rounding type *M*1-T.
- Validity in the Center V50 responses are consistent with all rounding types but Undetermined-C. V25 responses are consistent with all rounding types in {M25, M10-C, M5-C, M1-C}. V10-C responses are consistent with all rounding types in {M10-C, M5-C, M1-C}. V5-C responses are consistent with rounding types M5-C and M1-C. V1-T responses are consistent with rounding type M1-C.

Supplementary Appendix to Section 5

SA5.1 Derivation of Sharp Bounds with Exclusion Restrictions

Here we derive the sharp bounds with exclusion restrictions reported at the end of Section 5.1.

Let v denote an individual's subjective expectation, and let $[v^L, v^U]$ denote that individual's interval delivered by our algorithm. Let z denote a random variable with support equal to Z. Assume:

Assumption A.1:
$$P(v|v^L, v^U, z) = P(v|v^L, v^U), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (v^L, v^U) - a.s.$$

Here for simplicity we omit additional covariates x, but the analysis could condition on those throughout.

The object of interest is $E(v|z = z_0) - E(v|z = z_1)$, with $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Sharp bounds on this quantity are provided in the following proposition.

Proposition A.1. Assume that $P(v \in [v^L, v^U]) = 1$, that Assumption A.1 holds, and that v, v^L, v^U have finite support \mathcal{V} . Then the sharp bounds on $E(v|z = z_0) - E(v|z = z_1)$ are [LB, UB], with

$$\begin{split} \text{LB} &= \sum_{\{v_{\ell}, v_{u} \in \mathcal{V} \cap A_{\{z_{0}, z_{1}\}} : v_{\ell} \leq v_{u}\}} v_{\ell} \left[P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{0}) - P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{1}) \right] + \\ &\sum_{\{v_{\ell}, v_{u} \in \mathcal{V} \cap A_{\{z_{0}, z_{1}\}}^{C} : v_{\ell} \leq v_{u}\}} v_{u} \left[P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{0}) - P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{1}) \right], \\ \text{and} \\ &\text{UB} = \sum_{\{v_{\ell}, v_{u} \in \mathcal{V} \cap A_{\{z_{0}, z_{1}\}} : v_{\ell} \leq v_{u}\}} v_{u} \left[P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{0}) - P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{1}) \right] + \\ &\sum_{\{v_{\ell}, v_{u} \in \mathcal{V} \cap A_{\{z_{0}, z_{1}\}}^{C} : v_{\ell} \leq v_{u}\}} v_{\ell} \left[P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{0}) - P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{1}) \right], \\ \text{where } A_{\{z_{0}, z_{1}\}} = \{v_{\ell}, v_{u} : \left[P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{0}) - P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{1}) \right] > 0 \}, \text{ and} \\ &A_{\{z_{0}, z_{1}\}}^{C} \text{ is the complement of } A_{\{z_{0}, z_{1}\}}. \end{split}$$

Proof. To obtain the result, use the Law of Iterated Expectations to write

$$E(v|z = z_0) - E(v|z = z_1)$$

$$= \sum_{\{(v_\ell, v_u) \in \mathcal{V}: v_\ell \le v_u\}} E(v|v^L = v_\ell, v^U = v_u, z = z_0) P(v^L = v_\ell, v^U = v_u|z = z_0)$$

$$- \sum_{\{(v_\ell, v_u) \in \mathcal{V}: v_\ell \le v_u\}} E(v|v^L = v_\ell, v^U = v_u, z = z_1) P(v^L = v_\ell, v^U = v_u|z = z_1).$$

Using Assumption A.1, we obtain that the above quantity equals

$$\sum_{\{(v_{\ell}, v_u) \in \mathcal{V}: v_{\ell} \le v_u} E(v | v^L = v_{\ell}, v^U = v_u) [P(v^L = v_{\ell}, v^U = v_u | z = z_0) - P(v^L = v_{\ell}, v^U = v_u | z = z_1)].$$

This quantity is minimized by setting $E(v|v^L = v_\ell, v^U = v_u) = v_\ell$ when

$$P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{0}) - P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u} | z = z_{1}) > 0,$$

and $E(v|v^L = v_\ell, v^U = v_u) = v_u$ when

$$P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u}|z = z_{0}) - P(v^{L} = v_{\ell}, v^{U} = v_{u}|z = z_{1}) \le 0.$$

The opposite assignments yield the upper bound.

Tables and Figures Appendix

Working Query Control Query Contr Query Control Query Control <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>,</th> <th>Wave</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					,	Wave			
DESCONAL IFLACING 0-9 (as inclusioned waves, 10 are versioned with one of the state of	#	Question	2002	2004	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014
P19 Health limit work during next 10 years Y		PERSONAL HEALTH (3-9 Qs in each w	vave, 10	across w	aves)				
P28Live to be 75 or moreVVV <t< td=""><td>P19</td><td>Health limit work during next 10 years</td><td>Y</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	P19	Health limit work during next 10 years	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-
P2Leve to be age X or moreYY <thy< th="">YY<</thy<>	P28	Live to be 75 or more	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P32Now to moring home over (frage=6) / inde meta Syara) (if age>=6)VVV <td>P29</td> <td>Live to be age X or more</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td>	P29	Live to be age X or more	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P103 Live independently at 75 - - Y Y - - - P104 Free of serious mental problems in thinking/reasoning at X - - Y Y - - - P107 Free of serious problems in thinking/reasoning at X - - Y Y V -<	P32	Move to nursing home ever (if age<65) / in the next 5 years (if age >= 65)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P104Free of serious menul problems at 75YYYPPP <td>P103</td> <td>Live independently at 75</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	P103	Live independently at 75	-	-	Y	Y	-	-	-
P106 Live independently at X . . . Y Y .	P104	Free of serious mental problems at 75	-	-	Y	Y	-	-	-
P107 Free of serious problems in thinking reasoning at X - - - Y Y - - Y Y - - P108 Same health in 4 years - - Y Y - - - - Y Y - <t< td=""><td>P106</td><td>Live independently at X</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	P106	Live independently at X	-	-	Y	Y	-	-	-
P108Same health in 4 yearsYYYY	P107	Free of serious problems in thinking/reasoning at X	-	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y
P109Worse health in 4 yearsVVV DERSONAL EIVANCES (11-23 Qs in act warse warse warse warse warses and the serve indication for next 5 yearsVV	P108	Same health in 4 years	-	-	Y	Y	-	-	-
PERSONAL PINANCES (11-23 Qs in cach wave, 31 across waves P4 Income keep up inflation for next 5 years Y	P109	Worse health in 4 years	-	-	Y	Y	-	-	-
P4Income keep up inflation for next S yearsYYYYVVV <th< td=""><td></td><td>PERSONAL FINANCES (11-23 Qs in each</td><td>n wave, 3</td><td>1 across</td><td>waves)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		PERSONAL FINANCES (11-23 Qs in each	n wave, 3	1 across	waves)				
P5 Leave inheritance >>\$10,000 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y P6 Leave inheritance >>\$100,000 Y	P4	Income keep up inflation for next 5 years	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
P6 Leave inheritance >>5100,000 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y P7 Leave any inheritance Y<	P5	Leave inheritance >=\$10,000	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P7Leave any inheritanceYYY <t< td=""><td>P6</td><td>Leave inheritance >=\$100,000</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td></t<>	P6	Leave inheritance >=\$100,000	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P8Receive inheritance during next 10 yearsYYY <th< td=""><td>P7</td><td>Leave any inheritance</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td></th<>	P7	Leave any inheritance	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P14 Lose job next year Y Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z P10Receive \$1,00	P8	Receive inheritance during next 10 years	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
P15 Finding a job in few month in case of job-loss Y	P14	Lose job next year	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
P16 Working for pay in the future Y	P15	Finding a job in few month in case of job-loss	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
P17 Working full time after age 62 Y Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z <thz< th=""> Z Z</thz<>	P16	Working for pay in the future	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P18 Working full time after age 65 Y Z <thz< th=""> <thz< th=""> 2010010</thz<></thz<>	P17	Working full time after age 62	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P20 Finding a job in few months if unemployed Y <td>P18</td> <td>Working full time after age 65</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td>	P18	Working full time after age 65	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P30 Give \$5,000 to others over next 10 years Y Y Y Y Y - - - P31 Receive \$5,000 from others over next 10 years Y <td>P20</td> <td>Finding a job in few months if unemployed</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td>	P20	Finding a job in few months if unemployed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
P31 Receive \$5,000 from others over next 10 years Y	P30	Give \$5,000 to others over next 10 years	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
P59 Leave inheritance >=\$500,000 Y Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z <thz< th=""> Z <thz< th=""> Z <thz< th=""> P175 Obou</thz<></thz<></thz<>	P31	Receive \$5,000 from others over next 10 years	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
P70 Medical expenses use up savings in next 5 years - Y Y Y - - P71 Give \$1,000 to others during next 10 years - Y Y - - - P72 Give \$10,000 to others during next 10 years - Y Y - - - P73 Give \$20,000 to others during next 10 years - Y Y - - - P74 Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years - Y Y - - - P75 Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years - Y Y - - - P76 Receive \$1,0000 from others over next 10 years - Y Y Y Y Y P112 Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 years - Y Y Y Y P126 Home worth more/less by random "X" by next year - - - Y Y Y P166 Home worth more/less by random "X" by next year - - - Y Y Y P175 O	P59	Leave inheritance >=\$500.000	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
First of the structure of	P70	Medical expenses use up savings in next 5 years	-	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	P71	Give \$1.000 to others during next 10 years	-	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
11YY73Give \$20,000 to others during next 10 years-YYP74Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years-YYP75Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years-YYP76Receive \$10,000 from others over next 10 years-YYP71Boc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 years-YYYYYYYP112Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 years - future own benefitsYYYYYP125Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 yearsYYYYYP126Home worth more by next yearYYYYP175Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$1,500 during next yearYYYYP176Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYYP177Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$8,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$8,000 during next yearYYYP184Any work after age 70YYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expens	P72	Give \$10,000 to others during next 10 years	-	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
11111111174Receive \$2,500 from others over next 10 years-YY75Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years-YY76Receive \$10,000 from others over next 10 years-YY	P73	Give \$20,000 to others during next 10 years	-	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
11111111175Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years-YYP76Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years-YYYYYYP111Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 years-IVYYYYYP112Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 years-IVYYYYYYP112Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 yearsYYYYYYP116Home worth more by next yearYYYYYP168Home worth more/less by random "X" by next yearYYYYP175Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$500 during next yearYYYYP176Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$500 during next yearYYYYP177Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP181Any work after age 70YYYYP182Working full time after age 70 </td <td>P74</td> <td>Receive \$2.500 from others over next 10 years</td> <td>-</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	P74	Receive \$2.500 from others over next 10 years	-	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
InterferenceInterferenceImage: Constraint of the part o	P75	Receive \$1,000 from others over next 10 years	-	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
10111211 <td>P76</td> <td>Receive \$10,000 from others over next 10 years</td> <td>-</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	P76	Receive \$10,000 from others over next 10 years	-	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
11112111	P111	Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 years - current own benefits	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
111<	P112	Soc. Sec. will be worse over next 10 years - future own benefits	-	-	Y	v	Y	v	Y
10.1010.1011111P168Home worth more/less by random "X" by next yearYYYP175Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$1,500 during next yearYYYP176Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP177Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$8,000 during next yearYYYP181Any work after age 70YYYP182Working full time after age 70YYYGENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2-7 Qs in each wave, 12 across waves)P34U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYYYYYP47Mutual funds increase in value by next yearYYYYYYP10Social Security in general will become worse in next 10 years-YYYYYP114Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 years-YYYYYP116Cost of living increases more than the cost of living over next 10 years-YYYYYP116Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next year- <td< td=""><td>P166</td><td>Home worth more by next year</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td></td<>	P166	Home worth more by next year	-	-	-	-	Y	Y	Y
Pine work interview of numberPine of the original peakPine of the original peakP175Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$1,500 during next yearYYP176Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP177Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$8,000 during next yearYYYP181Any work after age 70YYYP182Working full time after age 70YYYP184U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYYYYYP195Social Security in general will become worse in next 10 yearsYYYYYYP114Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 years-YYYYPP115Mutual funds increase 8% more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYYYYP115Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next yearYYYYP183Medicare less generous in next 10 yearsYYYP190Sto	P168	Home worth more/less by random "X" by next year	-	-	-	-	Y	Y	Y
11.105 as of pocket medical expense >3500 during next yearYYYP176Out-of-pocket medical expense >53,000 during next yearYYYP177Out-of-pocket medical expense >58,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >58,000 during next yearYYYP181Any work after age 70YYYP182Working full time after age 70YYYGENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2-7 Qs in each wave, 12 across waves)P34U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYYYYYP47Mutual funds increase in value by next yearYYYYYYP47Mutual funds increase in value by next yearYYYYYYP115Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYYP116Cost of living increases m	P175	Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$1.500 during next year	-	-	_	-	v	V	v
11.10Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP177Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$3,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$8,000 during next yearYYYP181Any work after age 70YYYP182Working full time after age 70YYYGENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2-7 Qs in each wave, 12 across waves)P34U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYYYP47Mutual funds increase in value by next yearYYYYYYYP110Social Security in general will become worse in next 10 yearsYYYYYP114Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 years-YYYYP115Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next yearYYYYYP180Mutual funds decrease by 20% (in 12 months)YYYYP193Stock Market increase by 20% (in 12 months)YYYP193Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)YYP193	P176	Out-of-pocket medical expense >\$500 during next year	_	_			v	V	V
11.1Solid of pocket indicate expense >58,000 during next yearYYYP178Out-of-pocket medical expense >58,000 during next yearYYP181Any work after age 70YYP182Working full time after age 70YYGENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2-7 Qs in each wave, 12 across waves)P34U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYYYP47Mutual funds increase in value by next yearYYYYYYYP11Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYYYYYP115Mutual funds increase 8% more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYYYYP116Cost of living increases more than 5% over next 10 yearsYYYYYYP180Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next yearYYYYP183Medicare less generous in next 10 yearsYYYP190Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of todayYYP192Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)- <td>P177</td> <td>Out-of-pocket medical expense > \$3,000 during next year</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>Y</td> <td>V</td> <td>Y</td>	P177	Out-of-pocket medical expense > \$3,000 during next year	-	-	_	-	Y	V	Y
11.111.111.111.111.111.1P181Any work after age 70 $ -$	P178	Out-of-pocket medical expense > \$8,000 during next year	-	-	-	-	Y	Y	Y
101My work and age 70111P182Working full time after age 70YGENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2-7 Qs in each wave, 12 across waves)P34U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYYYYP47Mutual funds increase in value by next yearYYYYYYYYYP110Social Security in general will become worse in next 10 yearsY-YYYYYP114Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYYYP114Mutual funds increase 8% more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYYP115Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next yearYYYYP180Mutual funds decrease by 20% by next yearYYYP190Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of todayYYP192Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)YP193Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)Y	P181	Any work after age 70	_	_	_	_		V	v
GENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2-7 Qs in each wave, 12 across waves)GENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2-7 Qs in each wave, 12 across waves)P34U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYPP11-YYYYP116Cost of living increases more than the cost of living over next 10 years- <td>P182</td> <td>Working full time after age 70</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>V</td> <td>V</td>	P182	Working full time after age 70	_				_	V	V
P34U.S. have economic depression during next 10 yearsYYYP100Social Security in general will become worse in next 10 yearsY	1102	CENERAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (2.7.0)	in each r	wave 12	across	vaves)	-	1	1
1.770.5. have constant depression during next to years11<	P3/	US have economic depression during payt 10 years	V	v v	V	v			_
P110Social Security in general will become worse in next 10 yearsYIIIIIIP110Social Security in general will become worse in next 10 yearsY-YYYY-P114Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYP115Mutual funds increase 8% more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYP116Cost of living increases more than 5% over next 10 yearsYYP116Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next yearYYYYP180Mutual funds decrease by 20% by next yearYYYP183Medicare less generous in next 10 yearsYYP190Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of todayYYP192Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)YYP193Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)Y	P47	Mutual funds increase in value by next vear	V	V	V	V	V	v	v
110Social occurs in general with occurs worse in text to years1-1111-P114Mutual funds increase more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYP115Mutual funds increase 8% more than the cost of living over next 10 yearsYP116Cost of living increases more than 5% over next 10 yearsYYP150Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next yearYYYYP180Mutual funds decrease by 20% by next yearYYYP183Medicare less generous in next 10 yearsYYP190Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of todayYYP193Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)YYP193Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)Y	P110	Social Security in general will become worse in part 10 years	v		v	v	v	v	
P114 Mutual funds increase fibre tual tule cost of fiving over next 10 years - - Y - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y <td>D114</td> <td>Mutual funds increases more than the cost of living over next 10 years</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>I V</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	D114	Mutual funds increases more than the cost of living over next 10 years	1	-	I V	1	1	1	
P110 Fundam landes increase system that the cost of nying over next 10 years - - I - 10 918 30	D115	Mutual funds increase 8% more than the cost of living over next 10 years	<u> </u>		I V	-	-	-	-
1110Cost of it wing increases indicuting // over itext to yearsi1P150Mutual funds increase by 20% (10%, or a random X%) by next yearYYYYYP180Mutual funds decrease by 20% by next yearYYYP183Medicare less generous in next 10 yearsYYP190Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of todayYYP192Stock Market increase by 20% (in 12 months)YP193Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)Y	D116	Cost of living increases more than 5% over part 10 years	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I V	v	-	-	-
11.50Printical functions increase by 20% (10%, of a failed in X%) by next year1YYYP180Mutual funds decrease by 20% by next yearYYYP183Medicare less generous in next 10 yearsYYP190Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of todayYYP192Stock Market increase by 20% (in 12 months)YYP193Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)Y	D150	Mutual funds increases by 200/ (100/ or a rendom V0/) by northered	v	<u> </u>	I	I V	v	v	v
P100 PMutual fundes decrease by 20% by next year - - - - Y Y Y P183 Medicare less generous in next 10 years - - - - - Y Y P190 Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of today - - - - Y Y P192 Stock Market increase by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - Y P193 Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - Y	r130	Mutual funda daaraaaa hy 20% (10%, or a fandom X%) by next year	I	-	-	1	I V	I V	I V
P153 Ivideficare less generous in next 10 years - - - - Y Y P190 Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of today - - - - Y Y P192 Stock Market increase by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - Y P193 Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - Y	r180	Nutual lunds decrease by 20% by next year	-	-	-	-	Y	Y	Y
P190 Stock Market increase in value in 12 months of today - - - - - Y P192 Stock Market increase by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - - Y P193 Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - Y	P185	Starle Market increase in rest 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	Y	Y
P192 Stock Market increase by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - - Y P193 Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - - Y	P190	Stock Warket increase in value in 12 months of today	-	-	-	-	-	-	Y
P193 Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months) - - - - - - Y	P192	Stock Market increse by 20% (in 12 months)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Y
	P193	Stock Market decrease by 20% (in 12 months)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Y

Table S1: Probabilistic Expectations Questions in the HRS (Section P, Waves 2002-2014)

		······································	
	N	N	N
Question: percent chance that	waves	total obs.	Rs asked
	asked	(across waves)	(across waves)
		Personal Health	
P19: Health limit work next 10 years	1	5,475	5,475
P28: Live to be age 75 or more	7	56,497	17,868
P29: Live to be age X or more	7	118,404	27,638
P32: Move to nursing home in 5 y	7	74,696	26,095
P103: Live independently at 75	2	7,590	5,693
P104: Free of serious mental at 75	2	7,590	5,693
P106: Live independently at X	2	15,291	13,228
P107: Free of serious think/reason	4	33,518	15,599
P108: Same health in 4 years	2	16,253	12,509
P109: Worse health in 4 years	2	16,232	12,512
	G	eneral Economic Condit	ions
P34: U.S. have economic depression	4	50,661	19,598
P47: Mutual funds up /next y	7	105,714	27,279
P110: SS in general will be worse	5	71,770	24,868
P114: Mutual fund up /more than living	1	16,680	16,680
P115: Mutual fund up 8% /more than	1	16,652	16,652
P116: Cost living up /more than 5%	2	32,431	17,781
P150: Mutual funds up by 20/10/ X%	5	42,092	20,051
P180: Mutual funds down by 20%	3	31,658	17,826
P183: Medicare less generous in 10 y	2	36,524	19,938
P190: Stock market up by next year	1	8,615	8,615
P192: Stock market up by 20%	1	5,430	5,430
P193: Stock market down by 20%	1	5.306	5.306

Table S2: Number of V	Vaves, Observations,	and Respondents	by Ouestion
			- /

NOTE: N of total observations includes all answers by any respondent in any wave to the corresponding question, including don't know/refuse. The set of questions each respondent is asked and observed to answer may vary across waves as a function of aspects of survey design such as the decision of designers to introduce new questions or to eliminate existing ones, the respondent's time-varying characteristics used for skip logic, etc. Additionally, new cohorts of respondents have been added over time, while a portion of respondents from the initial cohorts have left the study due to death or other reasons.

	N	N	N
Question: percent chance that	waves	total obs.	Rs asked
Questioni percent enunce anutti	asked	(across waves)	(across waves)
		Personal Finances	
P4: Income keep up inflation in 5 y	3	51,559	20,852
P5: Leave inheritance \geq \$10K	7	116,769	28,252
P6: Leave inheritance \geq \$100K	7	95,625	25,360
P7: Leave any inheritance	7	19,716	9,426
P8: Receive inheritance in 10 y	3	51,559	20,852
P14: Lose job next year	6	32,743	12,220
P15: Find job in few months/loss	6	32,727	12,220
P16: Work for pay in the future	7	66,855	20,902
P17: Work full time after age 62	7	36,603	13,325
P18: Work full time after age 65	7	37,062	13,158
P20: Find job in few months/unemployed	7	8,206	5,182
P30: Give \$5K to others in 10 y	3	50,528	20,633
P31: Receive \$5K in 10 y	3	50,528	20,633
P59: Leave inheritance \geq \$500K	7	73,872	21,339
P70: Med expenses use up savings	3	50,478	19,583
P71: Give \$1K to others in 10 y	2	21,024	13,717
P72: Give \$10K to others in 10 y	2	12,904	8,981
P73: Give \$20K to others in 10 y	2	11,155	7,838
P74: Receive \$2.5K in 10 y	2	30,644	18,014
P75: Receive \$1K in 10 y	2	30,397	17,924
P76: Receive \$10K in 10 y	2	3,270	2,786
P111: SS worse/current own benefits	5	51,023	16,477
P112: SS worse/future own benefits	5	26,753	10,599
P166: Home worth more next year	3	28,067	11,422
P168: Home worth more/less by X	3	26,394	11,168
P175: OP med exp \geq \$1.5K next year	3	56,760	21,771
P176: OP med exp \geq \$500 next year	3	10,962	7,482
P177: OP med exp \geq \$3K next year	3	44,022	19,526
P178: OP med exp \geq \$8K next year	3	36,369	17,453
P181: Any work after age 70	2	17,057	9,915
P182: Work full time after age 70	2	10.384	6.856

Tuble 52 (Continued). Number of Waves, Observations, and Respondents by Question	Table S2 (Continued): Number of Waves,	Observations, and Res	pondents by Question
--	---------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	----------------------

NOTE: N of total observations includes all answers by any respondent in any wave to the corresponding question, including don't know/refuse. The set of questions each respondent is asked and observed to answer may vary across waves as a function of aspects of survey design such as the decision of designers to introduce new questions or to eliminate existing ones, the respondent's time-varying characteristics used for skip logic, etc. Additionally, new cohorts of respondents have been added over time, while a portion of respondents from the initial cohorts have left the study due to death or other reasons.

			Fraction of responses equal to or in:								
Question: percent chance that	Wave	Ν	NR	0	1-4	50	96-99	100	Multiple*	Multiple**	Other
									of 10	of 5	
P5: leave inheritance \geq \$10,000	2002	16,119	0.050	0.154	0.004	0.074	0.007	0.443	0.205	0.060	0.002
(personal finances)	2004	18,249	0.037	0.162	0.004	0.083	0.008	0.404	0.241	0.059	0.002
	2006	17,191	0.053	0.159	0.004	0.067	0.008	0.447	0.209	0.052	0.001
	2008	16,060	0.050	0.153	0.004	0.067	0.010	0.431	0.236	0.046	0.002
	2010	20,397	0.037	0.172	0.007	0.080	0.009	0.344	0.296	0.053	0.003
	2012	19,359	0.039	0.170	0.007	0.085	0.009	0.329	0.306	0.053	0.003
	2014	17,647	0.037	0.167	0.006	0.086	0.008	0.324	0.319	0.050	0.003
P14: lose job during next year	2002	4,220	0.022	0.479	0.021	0.122	0.002	0.018	0.244	0.091	0.002
(personal finances)	2004	5,629	0.013	0.450	0.021	0.128	0.000	0.019	0.277	0.091	0.001
	2006	4,797	0.020	0.461	0.026	0.107	0.001	0.018	0.274	0.090	0.003
	2010	6,785	0.018	0.323	0.028	0.141	0.001	0.022	0.356	0.106	0.004
	2012	6,093	0.017	0.322	0.033	0.140	0.001	0.022	0.363	0.099	0.002
	2014	5,219	0.015	0.323	0.035	0.126	0.001	0.018	0.376	0.103	0.003
P15: find equally good job	2002	4,220	0.022	0.183	0.009	0.165	0.006	0.142	0.353	0.120	0.001
(personal finances)	2004	5,629	0.013	0.176	0.012	0.158	0.003	0.138	0.387	0.112	0.002
	2006	4,797	0.017	0.173	0.014	0.152	0.004	0.143	0.383	0.112	0.003
	2010	6,769	0.013	0.188	0.022	0.148	0.004	0.069	0.435	0.118	0.004
	2012	6,093	0.014	0.166	0.018	0.164	0.003	0.076	0.447	0.108	0.003
	2014	5,219	0.014	0.141	0.016	0.166	0.002	0.083	0.463	0.112	0.003
	• • • •				.		.				
P17: work full time after age 62	2002	3,219	0.012	0.194	0.005	0.139	0.005	0.220	0.312	0.111	0.001
(personal finances)	2004	4,528	0.007	0.161	0.008	0.156	0.004	0.163	0.387	0.112	0.003
	2006	5,238	0.011	0.299	0.011	0.133	0.004	0.142	0.305	0.093	0.002
	2008	3,870	0.026	0.160	0.012	0.134	0.006	0.202	0.357	0.099	0.004
	2010	7,828	0.008	0.152	0.014	0.151	0.006	0.143	0.415	0.108	0.004
	2012	6,647	0.010	0.148	0.016	0.147	0.005	0.136	0.434	0.098	0.005
	2014	5,294	0.006	0.147	0.015	0.142	0.005	0.137	0.443	0.099	0.005

Table S3: Responses by Question and Wave in the 2002-2014 HRS

NOTE: N = sample size, NR = nonresponse, * = multiple of 10 but not (0, 50, 100), ** = multiple of 5 but not of 10.

`````````````````````````````````		•			]	Fraction	of respor	nses equa	al to or in:		
Question: percent chance that	Wave	Ν	NR	0	1-4	50	96-99	100	Multiple* of 10	Multiple** of 5	Other
P28: live to be 75 or more	2002	7200	0.048	0.038	0.002	0.223	0.005	0.178	0.359	0.144	0.003
(personal health)	2004	9037	0.035	0.049	0.003	0.230	0.004	0.165	0.372	0.139	0.002
	2006	6713	0.040	0.053	0.004	0.222	0.005	0.152	0.375	0.144	0.004
	2008	5567	0.038	0.041	0.004	0.207	0.005	0.156	0.394	0.148	0.006
	2010	10498	0.041	0.059	0.005	0.206	0.006	0.143	0.402	0.133	0.006
	2012	9482	0.035	0.064	0.006	0.221	0.006	0.135	0.406	0.124	0.004
	2014	8084	0.029	0.064	0.006	0.226	0.006	0.136	0.414	0.115	0.004
P32: move to nursing home in 5 years	2002	9177	0.082	0.491	0.014	0.111	0.001	0.006	0.207	0.088	0.002
(personal health)	2004	12629	0.063	0.444	0.012	0.144	0.001	0.008	0.232	0.095	0.002
	2006	10044	0.075	0.463	0.021	0.101	0.000	0.007	0.231	0.100	0.002
	2008	10106	0.061	0.433	0.020	0.089	0.000	0.007	0.281	0.106	0.002
	2010	15512	0.045	0.393	0.025	0.130	0.001	0.016	0.284	0.103	0.003
	2012	9870	0.046	0.402	0.023	0.120	0.000	0.012	0.289	0.105	0.003
	2014	9367	0.037	0.400	0.028	0.113	0.000	0.013	0.304	0.102	0.003
P34: U.S. have economic depression	2002	184	0.103	0.054	0.016	0.299	0.000	0.082	0.359	0.071	0.016
(general economic conditions)	2004	17996	0.069	0.084	0.005	0.264	0.002	0.056	0.384	0.134	0.003
	2006	16754	0.078	0.066	0.006	0.238	0.002	0.060	0.404	0.142	0.004
	2008	15727	0.060	0.044	0.005	0.194	0.006	0.137	0.409	0.141	0.004
P110: Social Security will be less generous	2006	16754	0.065	0.048	0.003	0.231	0.005	0.120	0.387	0.139	0.002
(general economic conditions)	2008	15727	0.064	0.049	0.002	0.223	0.006	0.111	0.395	0.147	0.003
	2010	20208	0.046	0.048	0.005	0.191	0.010	0.187	0.379	0.130	0.005
	2012	19081	0.043	0.051	0.004	0.210	0.008	0.175	0.387	0.118	0.004
P47: mutual fund increase in value	2002	7260	0.206	0.079	0.004	0.239	0.000	0.040	0.306	0.122	0.003
(general economic conditions)	2004	17996	0.148	0.058	0.004	0.264	0.001	0.041	0.359	0.121	0.004
	2006	16754	0.240	0.042	0.003	0.231	0.001	0.036	0.339	0.106	0.003
	2008	15727	0.197	0.057	0.004	0.216	0.001	0.028	0.374	0.119	0.004
	2010	20208	0.111	0.062	0.006	0.238	0.001	0.037	0.420	0.122	0.005
	2012	19081	0.119	0.058	0.005	0.271	0.000	0.033	0.401	0.108	0.005
	2014	8828	0.097	0.052	0.007	0.273	0.000	0.041	0.414	0.109	0.006

Table S3 (Continued): Responses by Question and Wave in the 2002-2014 HRS

		Response pattern									
Wave	Ν	All NR	All 0	All 0, 50,	Some multiple	Some multiple	Some 1-4	Some other			
			or 100	or 100	of 10*	of 5**	or 96-99				
				Based on the 12 q	uestions asked in all	waves					
2002	16032	0.022	0.101	0.101	0.392	0.320	0.054	0.011			
2004	18250	0.015	0.062	0.084	0.418	0.353	0.056	0.013			
2006	17191	0.027	0.072	0.077	0.409	0.336	0.065	0.014			
2008	16060	0.021	0.068	0.063	0.417	0.340	0.072	0.018			
2010	20400	0.010	0.053	0.050	0.426	0.350	0.092	0.020			
2012	19360	0.015	0.051	0.058	0.445	0.328	0.083	0.020			
2014	17647	0.012	0.065	0.062	0.458	0.295	0.090	0.018			
				Based on all que	stions asked in each v	wave					
2002	16032	0.014	0.023	0.039	0.324	0.459	0.119	0.022			
2004	18250	0.010	0.019	0.032	0.337	0.467	0.108	0.026			
2006	17191	0.025	0.019	0.023	0.263	0.513	0.117	0.039			
2008	16060	0.021	0.025	0.019	0.290	0.511	0.101	0.033			
2010	20400	0.009	0.029	0.022	0.316	0.442	0.144	0.038			
2012	19360	0.014	0.027	0.021	0.317	0.443	0.139	0.038			
2014	17647	0.012	0.026	0.022	0.329	0.427	0.142	0.042			

Table S4: Response Tendencies in the 2002-2014 HRS

NOTE: N = sample size, NR = nonresponse, *  $\equiv$  {10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 70, 80, 90}, **  $\equiv$  {5, 15, 25, 35, 45, 55, 65, 75, 85, 95}. The following 12 questions were asked in all HRS waves between 2002 and 2014: P47: mutual fund increase in value; P28: live to be 75 or more; P29: live to be X or more; P5: leave inheritance  $\geq$  \$10,000; P6: leave inheritance  $\geq$  \$100,000; P59: leave inheritance  $\geq$  \$500,000; P7: leave any inheritance; P16: work for pay in the future; P17: work full time after age 62; P18: work full time after age 65; P32: move to nursing home in 5 years; P20: finding a job in few months if unemployed.

Wave	2002	2004	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	All Waves
Question Domain								
`				Number of	f Questions			
personal finances	14	21	23	11	18	20	20	127
personal health	4	3	9	9	3	4	4	36
gen. economic cond.	3	2	6	5	4	5	7	32
Total	21	28	38	25	25	29	31	197
—			A	verage Number o	of Questions Ask	ted		
personal finances	8	12.4	13.2	5.6	9	9.7	9.7	67.6
personal health	2.3	2.1	3.5	5.1	2.2	2.4	2.5	20.1
gen. economic cond.	1	2	5.8	4.6	3.3	4.2	3.3	24.2
Total	11.3	16.5	22.5	15.3	14.5	16.3	15.5	111.9
			Ave	rage Number of	Questions Answ	vered		
personal finances	7.8	12.1	12.8	5.4	8.9	9.5	9.5	66
personal health	2.2	2	3.3	4.8	2.1	2.3	2.4	19.1
gen. economic cond.	0.8	1.8	4.8	4.2	3	4	3.1	21.7
Total	10.8	15.9	20.9	14.4	14	15.8	15	106.8

Table S5: Numbers of Questions Asked and Answered by Wave and Question Domain

	LT	RT	Т	С	Union
	(Left Tail)	(Right Tail)	(Tail)	(Center)	
(V100, V50)	{0}}	{ 100 }	V100-LT U V100-RT	{ 50 }	V100 ∪ V50
V25	Ø	Ø	Ø	{ 25, 75 }	V25
V10	{ 10, 20 }	{ 80, 90 }	V10-LT U V10-RT	{ 30, 40, 60, 70 }	V10-T U V10-C
V5	{ 5, 15 }	{ 85, 95 }	V5-LT U V5-RT	{ 35, 45, 55, 65 }	V5-T U V5-C
V1	1-4 ∪ 6-9 ∪ 11-14 ∪ 16-19 ∪ 21-24	76-79 ∪ 81-84 ∪ 86-89 ∪ 91-94 ∪ 96-99	V1-LT ∪ V1-RT	26-29 ∪ 31-34 ∪ 36-39 ∪ 41-44 ∪ 46-49 ∪ 51-54 ∪ 56-59 ∪ 61-64 ∪ 66-69 ∪ 71-74	V1-T ∪ V1-C
Union	V100-LT U V10-LT U V5-LT U V1-LT	V100-RT ∪ V10-RT ∪ V5-RT ∪ V1-RT	V100 ∪ V10-T ∪ V5-T ∪ V1-T	V50 ∪ V25 ∪ V10-C ∪ V5-C ∪ V1-C	0-100 (entire scale)

Table S6: Partition of the 0-100 Percent Chance Scale in Two Symmetric Tails and a Center

	N Percentage of responses in:										
	total	NR	V50	V100	V25	V10	V10	V5	V5	V1	V1
Question: percent chance that	obs.					Т	С	Т	С	Т	С
					Pers	onal Health					
P19: Health limit work next 10 years	5475	0.044	0.311	0.153	0.087	0.217	0.144	0.031	0.007	0.005	0.001
P28: Live to be age 75 or more	56497	0.038	0.219	0.204	0.082	0.270	0.120	0.042	0.010	0.013	0.001
P29: Live to be age X or more	118404	0.050	0.211	0.191	0.075	0.236	0.156	0.049	0.013	0.018	0.001
P32: Move to nursing home in 5 y	74696	0.059	0.120	0.426	0.039	0.206	0.062	0.060	0.003	0.023	0.001
P103: Live independently at 75	7590	0.031	0.190	0.136	0.115	0.292	0.152	0.056	0.016	0.012	0.001
P104: Free of serious mental at 75	7590	0.034	0.210	0.099	0.130	0.259	0.183	0.052	0.020	0.011	0.002
P106: Live independently at X	15291	0.060	0.219	0.144	0.100	0.234	0.166	0.046	0.015	0.015	0.001
P107: Free of serious think/reason	33518	0.062	0.227	0.135	0.088	0.229	0.179	0.049	0.014	0.016	0.001
P108: Same health in 4 years	16253	0.048	0.226	0.151	0.097	0.263	0.151	0.044	0.009	0.010	0.001
P109: Worse health in 4 years	16232	0.069	0.228	0.146	0.077	0.272	0.143	0.043	0.008	0.014	0.001
					General Eco	onomic Con	ditions				
P34: U.S. have economic depression	50661	0.069	0.234	0.148	0.083	0.228	0.170	0.041	0.014	0.011	0.001
P47: Mutual funds up /next y	105714	0.157	0.247	0.093	0.076	0.185	0.193	0.025	0.014	0.008	0.001
P110: SS in general will be worse	71770	0.054	0.212	0.200	0.087	0.235	0.151	0.035	0.011	0.014	0.001
P114: Mutual fund up/more than living	16680	0.281	0.182	0.096	0.063	0.178	0.157	0.026	0.010	0.006	0.001
P115: Mutual fund up 8%/more than	16652	0.307	0.162	0.076	0.061	0.187	0.150	0.033	0.010	0.012	0.001
P116: Cost living up /more than 5%	32431	0.077	0.151	0.210	0.089	0.252	0.152	0.045	0.010	0.013	0.001
P150: Mutual funds up by 20/10/ X%	42092	0.034	0.156	0.090	0.070	0.314	0.237	0.063	0.017	0.018	0.002
P180: Mutual funds down by 20%	31658	0.019	0.179	0.098	0.061	0.318	0.225	0.064	0.017	0.016	0.002
P183: Medicare less generous in 10 y	36524	0.039	0.219	0.216	0.075	0.246	0.150	0.032	0.008	0.014	0.001
P190: Stock market up by next year	8615	0.077	0.335	0.090	0.058	0.185	0.202	0.026	0.011	0.016	0.001
P192: Stock market up by 20%	5430	0.021	0.151	0.108	0.054	0.342	0.199	0.084	0.012	0.028	0.001
P193: Stock market down by 20%	5306	0.013	0.183	0.115	0.048	0.314	0.210	0.076	0.012	0.026	0.002

Table S7: Responses by Question and across Waves in the 2002-2014 HRS

NOTE:  $V50 \equiv \{50\}, V100 \equiv \{0, 100\}, V25 \equiv \{25, 75\}, V10-T \equiv \{10, 20, 80, 90\}, V10-C \equiv \{30, 40, 60, 70\}, V5-T \equiv \{5, 15, 85, 95\}, V5-C \equiv \{35, 45, 55, 65\}, V1-T \equiv non-round values in 1-24 or 76-99, V1-C \equiv non-round values in 26-74.$ 

	N Percentage of responses in:										
	total	NR	V50	V100	V25	V10	V10	V5	V5	V1	V1
Question: percent chance that	obs.					Т	С	Т	С	Т	С
					Pers	sonal Financ	es				
P4: Income keep up inflation in 5 y	51559	0.066	0.196	0.226	0.069	0.249	0.136	0.036	0.007	0.015	0.001
P5: Leave inheritance $\geq$ \$10K	116769	0.046	0.083	0.518	0.028	0.228	0.051	0.028	0.001	0.017	0.000
P6: Leave inheritance $\geq$ \$100K	95625	0.014	0.100	0.490	0.037	0.228	0.072	0.035	0.002	0.022	0.000
P7: Leave any inheritance	19716	0.020	0.053	0.763	0.013	0.098	0.021	0.020	0.001	0.012	0.000
P8: Receive inheritance in 10 y	51559	0.032	0.043	0.755	0.016	0.091	0.024	0.023	0.001	0.014	0.000
P14: Lose job next year	32743	0.017	0.129	0.405	0.028	0.261	0.060	0.067	0.003	0.031	0.000
P15: Find job in few months/loss	32727	0.015	0.158	0.276	0.056	0.287	0.128	0.053	0.004	0.022	0.000
P16: Work for pay in the future	66855	0.018	0.055	0.672	0.021	0.139	0.037	0.035	0.001	0.021	0.000
P17: Work full time after age 62	36603	0.011	0.144	0.333	0.055	0.268	0.120	0.043	0.006	0.020	0.001
P18: Work full time after age 65	37062	0.011	0.144	0.280	0.058	0.282	0.130	0.057	0.008	0.028	0.001
P20: Find job in few months/unemployed	8206	0.012	0.211	0.184	0.061	0.277	0.174	0.050	0.012	0.019	0.001
P30: Give \$5K to others in 10 y	50528	0.024	0.120	0.505	0.050	0.187	0.065	0.035	0.002	0.011	0.000
P31: Receive \$5K in 10 y	50528	0.023	0.047	0.674	0.020	0.143	0.026	0.047	0.001	0.019	0.000
P59: Leave inheritance $\geq$ \$500K	73872	0.011	0.090	0.490	0.034	0.216	0.073	0.046	0.003	0.037	0.000
P70: Med expenses use up savings	50478	0.060	0.141	0.316	0.060	0.246	0.109	0.048	0.006	0.014	0.000
P71: Give \$1K to others in 10 y	21024	0.007	0.097	0.551	0.044	0.186	0.060	0.041	0.002	0.013	0.000
P72: Give \$10K to others in 10 y	12904	0.011	0.212	0.322	0.072	0.219	0.124	0.026	0.006	0.007	0.001
P73: Give \$20K to others in 10 y	11155	0.011	0.152	0.334	0.061	0.265	0.100	0.057	0.005	0.015	0.000
P74: Receive \$2.5K in 10 y	30644	0.004	0.021	0.723	0.019	0.134	0.023	0.053	0.001	0.022	0.000
P75: Receive \$1K in 10 y	30397	0.003	0.042	0.686	0.024	0.141	0.031	0.051	0.001	0.021	0.000
P76: Receive \$10K in 10 y	3270	0.015	0.243	0.321	0.052	0.198	0.134	0.022	0.009	0.006	0.001
P111: SS worse/current own benefits	51023	0.036	0.246	0.197	0.080	0.246	0.138	0.037	0.007	0.012	0.001
P112: SS worse/future own benefits	26753	0.020	0.205	0.186	0.085	0.255	0.179	0.040	0.014	0.014	0.001
P166: Home worth more next year	28067	0.030	0.202	0.165	0.045	0.361	0.146	0.033	0.005	0.011	0.001
P168: Home worth more/less by X	26394	0.035	0.112	0.259	0.029	0.348	0.120	0.070	0.004	0.024	0.000
P175: OP med exp $\geq$ \$1.5K next year	56760	0.031	0.143	0.340	0.051	0.261	0.109	0.043	0.004	0.017	0.000
P176: OP med exp $\geq$ \$500 next year	10962	0.017	0.114	0.642	0.025	0.126	0.043	0.020	0.001	0.012	0.000
P177: OP med exp $\geq$ \$3K next year	44022	0.012	0.132	0.235	0.058	0.318	0.126	0.082	0.006	0.033	0.000
P178: OP med exp $\geq$ \$8K next year	36369	0.009	0.079	0.260	0.037	0.327	0.092	0.120	0.005	0.071	0.000
P181: Any work after age 70	17057	0.010	0.118	0.374	0.042	0.259	0.101	0.058	0.005	0.034	0.000
P182: Work full time after age 70	10384	0.003	0.100	0.264	0.038	0.323	0.108	0.097	0.007	0.060	0.000

Table S7 (Continued): Responses by Question and across Waves in the 2002-2014 HRS

NOTE:  $V50 \equiv \{50\}, V100 \equiv \{0, 100\}, V25 \equiv \{25, 75\}, V10-T \equiv \{10, 20, 80, 90\}, V10-C \equiv \{30, 40, 60, 70\}, V5-T \equiv \{5, 15, 85, 95\}, V5-C \equiv \{35, 45, 55, 65\}, V1-T \equiv non-round values in 1-24 or 76-99, V1-C \equiv non-round values in 26-74.$ 

AND $\exists$ domain $l' \neq l$ <u>START</u> : s.t. IF	$ \begin{array}{c} \texttt{#(} \Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ \texttt{V1-C)} \\ \geq 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ \text{V1-C}) \\ = 0 \end{array} $	#( $\Upsilon_{l'}$ ∩ V5-C) ≥1	$#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap V5-C) = 0$	$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ \text{V10-C}) \\ \geq 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ \text{V10-C}) \\ = 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ V25) \\ \geq 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ V25) \\ =0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ V50) \\ \geq 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap \\ V50) \\ = 0 \end{array} $	All NR
$\#(\Upsilon_l \cap \text{V1-C}) \ge 2$		$j$ is $\mathcal{M}1$ -C									
#( $\Upsilon_l$ ∩ V1-C)=1	<b>М</b> 1-С	M1-C IF <i>j</i> is still UNCLASSIFIED, GO to the NEXT row									
$\#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \mathbb{V}1\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}5\text{-}\mathbb{C}\}) \geq 2$		<i>j</i> is <b>M</b> 5-C									
$\#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \mathbb{V}1\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}5\text{-}\mathbb{C} \}) = 1$	<b>Ж</b> 5-С	$\mathcal{M}5-C$ $\mathcal{M}5-C$ IF j is still UNCLASSIFIED, GO to the NEXT row									
$#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \forall 1-C \cup \forall 5-C \cup \forall 10-C \} ) \ge 2$		<i>j</i> is <b><i>M</i>10-C</b>									
$#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \mathbb{V}1\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}5\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}10\text{-}\mathbb{C} \} = 1$	<b>Ж</b> 10-С		<b>Ж</b> 10-С		<b>Ж</b> 10-С	IF j	is still UNC	CLASSIFIE	D, GO to th	e NEXT row	
$#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \mathbb{V}1\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}5\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}10\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}25 \}) \geq 2$						<i>j</i> is <b>M</b> 25					
$#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{V1-C \cup V5-C \cup V10-C \cup V25\})=1$	<b>M</b> 25		<b>M</b> 25		<b>M</b> 25		<b>M</b> 25	IF j	is still UNC GO to the N	LASSIFIED, EXT row	1
$#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \mathbb{V}1\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}5\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}10\text{-}\mathbb{C} \cup \mathbb{V}25 \cup \mathbb{V}50 \} ) \geq 2$		$j$ is $\mathcal{M}50$									
#( $\Upsilon_1 \cap \{V1-C \cup V5-C \cup V10-C \cup V25 \cup V50\}$ )=1	<b>M</b> 50		<b>M</b> 50		<b>M</b> 50		<b>M</b> 50		<b>M</b> 50	<i>j</i> type is <b>U</b> ndetermi END	s ned,
All NR					j type is '	<b>U</b> ndetermin	ed, END				

Table S8A: Portion of the Algorithm Determining the Rounding Type of Respondent *j* in the Center for Questions of Domain *l* 

NOTE:  $\Upsilon_l$  is the set of responses given by a hypothetical respondent *j* in domain *l*. V1-C, V5-C, V10-C, V25, and V50 are sets partitioning the center of the 0-100 scale, defined in Table S7.  $\mathcal{M}1$ -C,  $\mathcal{M}5$ -C,  $\mathcal{M}10$ -C,  $\mathcal{M}25$ ,  $\mathcal{M}50$ , and "Undetermined' denote rounding types in the center.  $\mathcal{M}1$ -C denotes a respondent who rounds to the nearest 1 percent in the center,  $\mathcal{M}5$ -C denotes a respondent who rounds to the nearest 5 percent or finer in the center, and so on. Undetermined denotes respondents who could not be classified to belong to any of the preceding center types.

<b>AND</b> $\exists$ doma	$\lim_{l \to 0} \#(\Upsilon_{l'})$	$\cap \#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap$	#(Υ̂ _{l'} ∩	$\#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap$	#(Υ _{l'} ∩	#(Υ _{l'} ∩	#(Υ _{l'} ∩	#(Υ _{l'} ∩	#(Υ _{l'} ∩	$\#(\Upsilon_{l'} \cap$	All NR
START: s.t.	$ \begin{array}{c c} \neq l & \{V1-T \\ \cup V1-T \\ \cup V1-T \\ C\} \geq \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c}     F & \{V1-T \\                                    $	$\{V5-T \cup V5- C\} \ge 1$		$ \begin{array}{c c} \{V10-T \\ \cup V10- \\ C\}) \ge 1 \end{array} $	{V10-T U V10- C})= 0	V25) ≥ 1	V25) = 0	$ \begin{array}{c c}     \{V100 \\     \cup V50\}) \\     \geq 1 \end{array} $		
$\#(\Upsilon_l \cap V1\text{-}T) \ge 2$		$j$ is $\mathcal{M}1$ -T									
$\#(\Upsilon_l \cap V1\text{-}T)=1$	<b>ℋ</b> 1-T				IF <i>j</i> is still	UNCLASS	IFIED, GO	to NEXT ro	W		
$ #(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \mathbb{V}1\text{-}\mathbb{T} \cup \mathbb{V}5\text{-}\\\mathbb{T}\}) \ge 2 $		$j$ is $\mathcal{M}$ 5-T									
$ \begin{array}{l} \#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{ \mathbb{V}1\text{-}\mathbb{T} \cup \mathbb{V}5\text{-}\\ \mathbb{T}\}) = 1 \end{array} $	<b>M</b> 5-Т		$\mathcal{M}$ 5-TIF $j$ is still UNCLASSIFIED, GO to NEXT row								
$#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{V1-T \cup V5-T \cup V10-T\}) \ge 2$						<i>j</i> is <b>M</b> 10	-T				
$#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{V1-T \cup V5-T \cup V10-T\})=1$	<b>Ж</b> 10-Т	J	<b>10-</b> T	<i>נ</i>	<b>M</b> 10-T		IF <i>j</i> is still	JNCLASSI	FIED, GO to	NEXT row	
$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_l \cap \{ \forall 1 \text{-} T \cup \forall 5 \text{-} \\ T \cup \forall 10 \text{-} T \cup \forall 25 \cup \\ \forall 100 \}) \geq 2 \end{array} $		<i>j</i> is <b>M</b> 100									
$ \begin{array}{c} \#(\Upsilon_{l} \cap \{V1-T \cup V5-\\ T \cup V10-T \cup M25 \cup\\ V100)\}=1 \end{array} $	<b>M</b> 100		<b>M</b> 100		<b>M</b> 100		<b>M</b> 100		<b>M</b> 100	<i>i</i> type is <b>U</b> ndet END	ermined,
All NR		<i>j</i> type is <b>U</b> ndetermined, END									

Table S8B: Portion of the Algorithm Determining the Rounding Type of Respondent *j* in the Tails for Questions of Domain *l* 

NOTE:  $\Upsilon_l$  is the set of responses given by a hypothetical respondent *j* in domain *l*. V1-T, V5-T, V10-T, and V100 are sets partitioning the tails of the 0-100 scale, defined in Table S7.  $\mathcal{M}$ 1-T,  $\mathcal{M}$ 5-T,  $\mathcal{M}$ 10-T,  $\mathcal{M}$ 100, and "*U*ndetermined' denote rounding types in the tails.  $\mathcal{M}$ 1-T denotes a respondent who rounds to the nearest 1 percent in the tails,  $\mathcal{M}$ 5-T denotes a respondent who rounds to the nearest 5 percent or finer in the tails, and so on. *U*ndetermined denotes respondents who could not be classified to belong to any of the preceding t types.

10010 23			
	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Personal	Personal	General Economic
(Tails, Center) Rounding Type	Health	Finances	Conditions
$(\mathcal{M}1\text{-}\mathrm{T},\mathcal{M}1\text{-}\mathrm{C})$	0.17	0.33	0.26
( <b>M</b> 1-T, <b>M</b> 5-C)	1.07	3.03	1.22
( <b>M</b> 1-T, <b>M</b> 10-C)	6.08	15.84	5.73
( <b>M</b> 1-T, <b>M</b> 25)	1.33	1.72	0.80
$(\mathcal{M}1\text{-}\mathrm{T},\mathcal{M}50)$	1.27	1.31	0.86
$(\mathcal{M}1\text{-}\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{None}/\mathcal{U}\mathrm{ndet.})$	1.02	0.50	0.42
$(\mathcal{M}5\text{-}T, \mathcal{M}1\text{-}C)$	0.07	0.08	0.11
( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 5-C)	2.60	2.97	3.65
( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 10-C)	16.05	23.47	16.98
( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 25)	3.20	2.95	2.29
$(\mathcal{M}5\text{-}\mathrm{T},\mathcal{M}50)$	2.53	1.75	1.35
$(\mathcal{M}5\text{-}\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{None}/\mathcal{U}\mathrm{ndet.})$	1.39	0.53	0.55
$(\mathcal{M}10\text{-}T, \mathcal{M}1\text{-}C)$	0.13	0	0.16
( <i>M</i> 10- <i>T</i> , <i>M</i> 5- <i>C</i> )	1.84	0.73	2.47
( <b>M</b> 10-T, <b>M</b> 10-C)	25.92	22.75	32.51
( <b>M</b> 10-T, <b>M</b> 25)	5.91	5.09	5.24
( <b>M</b> 10-T, <b>M</b> 50)	7.98	5.88	5.93
$(\mathcal{M}10\text{-}\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{None}/\mathcal{U}\mathrm{ndet.})$	4.35	2.36	2.70
$(\mathcal{M}100, \mathcal{M}1\text{-}C)$	0	0	0.01
$(\mathcal{M}100, \mathcal{M}5-C)$	0.16	0.03	0.14
(M100, M10-C)	2.89	1.04	1.96
$(\mathcal{M}100, \mathcal{M}25)$	1.62	1.01	1.08
$(\mathcal{M}100, \mathcal{M}50)$	3.90	2.45	2.32
$(\mathcal{M}100, \text{None}/\mathcal{U}\text{ndet.})$	4.74	3.42	2.47
(None/Undet., $\mathcal{M}$ 1-C)	0.01	0	0.01
(None/Undet., $\mathcal{M}$ 5-C)	0.20	0.01	0.24
(None/Undet., $\mathcal{M}$ 10-C)	1.27	0.01	2.50
(None/Undet., $\mathcal{M}25$ )	0.47	0	0.92
(None/Undet., $\mathcal{M}50$ )	0.92	0	2.06
(None/Undet., None/ <b>U</b> ndet.)	0.91	0.74	3.06
Total	100	100	100
Sample size	28044	28252	28172
Tails finer than center	45.42	61.03	40.40
Tails same as center	32.60	28.49	38.73
Tails coarser than center	6.71	2.90	5.94
No/ <b>U</b> ndet. T and/or C	15.27	7.58	14.93

Table S9: Distribution of Rounding Types by Domain

NOTE: For each domain (T=tail and C= center),  $\mathcal{M}1$  denotes a respondent who rounds to the nearest 1 percent in that domain,  $\mathcal{M}5$  denotes a respondent who rounds to the nearest 5 percent or finer in that domain, and so on. **U**ndetermined denotes respondents who could not be classified to belong to any of the preceding types.

	Person	al Health	Persona	l Finances	Gen. Econ	. Conditions		
	Tail Type	Center Type	Tail Type	Center Type	Tail Type	Center Type		
Male	0.0306	-0.0203	0.0321	0.0166	0.0137	-0.0346		
	(0.0146)	(0.0152)	(0.0139)	(0.0149)	(0.0147)	(0.0154)		
Aged 60-69 cohort	-0.1860	-0.1343	-0.0062	0.0217	-0.1064	-0.0962		
	(0.0177)	(0.0191)	(0.0171)	(0.0186)	(0.0182)	(0.0192)		
Aged 70-79 cohort	-0.1409	0.0784	0.1732	0.2271	-0.7937	0.0562		
	(0.0196)	(0.0203)	(0.0187)	(0.0201)	(0.0196)	(0.0205)		
Aged 80+ cohort	0.1768	0.5320	0.5862	0.6615	0.2228	0.4162		
	(0.0257)	(0.0252)	(0.0237)	(0.0248)	(0.0258)	(0.0257)		
High school	-0.1749	-0.1996	-0.2507	-0.2776	-0.1250	-0.2324		
	(0.0210)	(0.0206)	(0.0194)	(0.0203)	(0.0211)	(0.0210)		
Some college	-0.1607	-0.2081	-0.2969	-0.3290	-0.1289	-0.2820		
	(0.0346)	(0.0359)	(0.0326)	(0.0351)	(0.0347)	(0.0367)		
Bachelor	-0.3400	-0.4218	-0.4566	-0.4950	-0.2714	-0.4588		
	(0.0264)	(0.0276)	(0.0253)	(0.0271)	(0.0268)	(0.0277)		
Graduate	-0.4362	-0.5580	-0.5459	-0.5586	-0.3513	-0.5527		
	(0.0290)	(0.0311)	(0.0281)	(0.0306)	(0.0294)	(0.0313)		
Black	0.0846	0.1947	-0.0548	0.0212	-0.0036	0.0477		
	(0.0211)	(0.0216)	(0.0193)	(0.0209)	(0.0209)	(0.0217)		
Other race	0.1586	0.2031	0.1264	0.0897	0.1220	0.1128		
	(0.0296)	(0.0315)	(0.0280)	(0.0302)	(0.0306)	(0.0312)		
Rho	0.2	2698	0.3	0.3799		0.2985		
	(0.0	0086)	(0.0	0073)	(0.0092)			
N	22	,821	25	,016	22	,983		

Table S10: Bivariate Ordered Probit of (Tail, Center) Rounding Categories on Respondent's Characteristics, by Question Domain

NOTES: (i) Respondents whose tail or center rounding category is undetermined are excluded from this analysis. (ii) Omitted dummies are 'Female,' 'Aged 50-59 cohort,' 'No degree,' and 'White.' 'Rho' is the parameter capturing the correlation between the error terms of the tail and center latent equations. (iii) Standard errors are reported in parentheses.

Center Type Tails Type	<b>ℋ</b> 1-C	<b>M</b> 5-C	<b>ℋ</b> 10-C	<b>M</b> 25	<b>M</b> 50	No or <b>U</b> ndetermined center type
<b>ℋ</b> 1-T	$\boldsymbol{\upsilon}_{jkt}^{T}$	$\upsilon_{_{jkt}}^{^{T}}$	$\boldsymbol{\upsilon}_{jkt}^{T}$	$oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_{jkt}^T$	$oldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_{jkt}^T$	$\boldsymbol{\upsilon}_{jkt}^{T}$
<b>Ж</b> 5-Т	SAME AS ( <i>M</i> 1-T, <i>M</i> 1-C)	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 2.5), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 2.5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, \upsilon_{jkt}^{T} - 2.5), \\ \min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{T} + 2.5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 2.5), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 2.5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, \upsilon_{jkt}^{T} - 2.5), \\ \min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{T} + 2.5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, \upsilon_{jkt}^{T} - 2.5), \\ \min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{T} + 2.5, 100)]$
<b>ℋ</b> 10-T	SAME AS ( <i>M</i> 1-T, <i>M</i> 1-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 5-C)	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 5), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 5), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 5), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 5), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 5, 100)]$
<b>M</b> 100	SAME AS ( <i>M</i> 1-T, <i>M</i> 1-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 5-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 10-T, <b>M</b> 10-C)	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 12.5), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 12.5, 100)]$	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 25), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 25, 100)]$	$[\max(0, v_{jkt}^{T} - 50), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{T} + 50, 100)]$
No or <b>U</b> ndet. tail type	SAME AS ( <i>M</i> 1-T, <i>M</i> 1-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 5-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 10-T, <b>M</b> 10-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 100, <b>M</b> 25)	SAME AS $(\mathcal{M}100, \mathcal{M}50)$	[0,100]
All NR responses regardless of type	[0,100]	[0,100]	[0,100]	[0,100]	[0,100]	[0,100]

Table S11A: Portion of the Algorithm Assigning Probability Intervals,  $\begin{bmatrix} v_{jktl}^T, v_{jktl}^T \end{bmatrix}$ , to Point Responses in the Tails by Respondent *j* to Questions in Domain *l*,  $v_{jktl}^T$ , by Rounding Type

NOTE:  $\mathcal{M}_{1-T}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{5-T}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{10-T}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{100}$ , and "*U*ndetermined' denote rounding types in the tails.  $\mathcal{O}_{jkt}^{T}$  denotes a hypothetical response respondent *j* gave in the tails of the 0-100 scale when answering a question in domain *l*.  $\left[\mathcal{O}_{jktl}^{T}, \mathcal{O}_{jktl}^{T}\right]$  denotes the probability interval assigned to the point response by the algorithm. The boundary conditions ensure that the lower and upper bounds of the probability interval lie in the tails of the 0-100 scale.

Center	<b>M</b> 1-C	<b>M</b> 5-C	<b>M</b> 10-C	<b>M</b> 25	<b>M</b> 50	No or <b>U</b> ndet
Type	J. 1-C	54 5-0	577 10-0	J+L 2.5	5400	center
Tails Type						type or any NR
<b>М</b> 1 Т	$n^{c}$	$[\max(\max\Upsilon_{j}^{LT},\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}-2.5),$	$[\max(\max\Upsilon_{j}^{LT},\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}-5),$	$[\max(\max\Upsilon_{j}^{LT},\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}-12.5),$	$[\max(\max\Upsilon_{j}^{LT},\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}-25),$	[0 100]
J# 1-1	U jkt	$\min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}+2.5,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT})]$	$\min(v_{jkt}^{C}+5,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT})]$	$\min(v_{jkt}^{C}+12.5,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT})]$	$\min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}+25,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT})]$	[0,100]
	AS	$[\max(\max \Upsilon_{i}^{LT} + 2.5, \upsilon_{ikt}^{C} - 2.5),$	$[\max(\max \Upsilon_{i}^{LT} + 2.5, \upsilon_{ikt}^{C} - 5),$	$[\max(\max \Upsilon_{i}^{LT} + 2.5, \upsilon_{ikt}^{C} - 12.5),$	$[\max(\max \Upsilon_{i}^{LT} + 2.5, \upsilon_{ikt}^{C} - 25),$	[0 100]
<b>M</b> 5-1	( <b>M</b> 1T, <b>M</b> 1C)	$\min(\nu_{jkt}^{C}+2.5,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT}-2.5)]$	$\min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}+5,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT}-2.5)]$	$\min(v_{jkt}^{C} + 12.5, \min \Upsilon_{j}^{RT} - 2.5)]$	$\min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}+25,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT}-2.5)]$	[0,100]
<b>м</b> 10 т	AS (M1T	SAME AS	$[\max(\max \Upsilon_{j}^{LT}+5,\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}-5),$	$[\max(\max \Upsilon_{j}^{LT}+5,\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}-12.5),$	$[\max(\max\Upsilon_{j}^{LT}+5,\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}-25),$	[0 100]
J# 10-1	$\mathcal{M}$ 1C)	$(\mathcal{M}5\text{-}\mathrm{T},\mathcal{M}5\text{-}\mathrm{C})$	$\min(v_{jkt}^{C}+5,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT}-5)]$	$\min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}+12.5,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT}-5)]$	$\min(\upsilon_{jkt}^{C}+25,\min\Upsilon_{j}^{RT}-5)]$	[0,100]
<b>M</b> 100	AS ( <b>M</b> 1T, <b>M</b> 1C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 5-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 10-T, <b>M</b> 10-C)	$[v_{jkt}^{C} - 12.5, v_{jkt}^{C} + 12.5]$	$[\max(25, v_{jkt}^{C} - 25), \\ \min(v_{jkt}^{C} + 25, 75)]$	[0,100]
No or <b>U</b> ndet. tail type		SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 5-T, <b>M</b> 5-C)	SAME AS ( <b>M</b> 10-T, <b>M</b> 10-C)	SAME AS $(\mathcal{M}100, \mathcal{M}25)$	SAME AS $(\mathcal{M}100, \mathcal{M}50)$	[0,100]

Table S11B: Portion of the Algorithm Assigning Probability Intervals,  $\begin{bmatrix} v_{jktl}^{C}, v_{jktl}^{C} \end{bmatrix}$ , to Point Responses in the Center by Respondent *j* to Questions in Domain *l*,  $v_{jktl}^{C}$ , by Rounding Type

NOTE:  $\mathcal{M}1$ -C,  $\mathcal{M}5$ -C,  $\mathcal{M}10$ -C,  $\mathcal{M}50$ , and "Undetermined' denote rounding types in the tails.  $\mathcal{U}_{jkt}^{C}$  denotes a hypothetical response respondent *j* gave in the center of the 0-100 scale when answering a question in domain l.  $\left[\mathcal{U}_{jktl}^{C}, \mathcal{U}_{jktl}^{C}\right]$  denotes the probability interval assigned to the point response by the algorithm. The boundary conditions ensure that the lower and upper bounds of the probability interval lie in the center of the 0-100 scale.  $\Upsilon_{j}^{LT}$  denotes the set of responses respondent *j* gave in the left tail (i.e., in 0-24) when answering questions in domain *l*.  $\Upsilon_{j}^{RT}$  denotes the set of respondent *j*'s responses in the right tail (i.e., in 76-100).

Table 312. Distribution of Range Size for Specific Expectations Questions in the 2014 TRS								
	Percent	Percent	Percent					
	Live to be 75	Work full time	Mutual funds					
	or older	past age 62	increase in value					
	(P28 in Personal	(P17 in Personal	(P47 in General					
Range Size	Health)	Finances)	Economic Conditions)					
0	7.17	20.95	6.04					
2.5	3.71	9.05	2.02					
3.5	0.09	0.09	0					
4.5	0.04	0.08	0.02					
5	27.72	31.72	23.82					
6	0.01	0.02	0					
7.5	0.99	1.38	1.55					
9	0.02	0.02	0					
10	42.96	32.58	48.11					
12.5	1.53	0.34	0.77					
15	0.38	0.19	0.36					
17.5	0.06	0.13	0.11					
20	0.05	0.02	0.02					
22.5	0.06	0.11	0.09					
25	4.40	1.57	3.77					
27.5	0.02	0	0					
30	0.02	0.02	0.01					
32.5	0	0.02	0					
35	0.01	0	0					
40	0	0	0.02					
42.5	0.01	0	0					
50	7.71	1.1	3.56					
60	0.01	0	0					
100	2.99	0.62	9.72					
Total	100	100	100					
Sample size	8,084	5,294	8,828					

Table S12: Distribution of Range Size for Specific Expectations Questions in the 2014 HRS

## Table S13 Validation: Working and Stock Market Expectations

	Inferred tail rounding type in health domain based on algorithm and 2002-2014 data							
Granularity		<i>M</i> 1-T	<b>M</b> 5-T	<b>M</b> 10-T	<b>M</b> 50-T	<b>U</b> ndet-T		
of tail response	Multiple of 1	63	23	15	1	0		
to working	Multiple of 5	86	70	29	0	0		
past 62	Multiple of 10	326	405	285	6	0		
in 2016	0 or 100	282	410	485	21	0		

Panel A. Percent Chance of Working Full-Time After Age 62, Tail Responses – Absolute frequencies

NOTES: Sub-sample size = 2,507. Percentage of consistent cases in the tails = 97.05% (green-colored cells).

Panel B. Percent Chance of Working Full-Time After Age 62, Center Responses – Absolute frequencies

	Inferred center rounding type in health domain based on algorithm and 2002-2014 data								
Granularity		<b>M</b> 1-C	<b>Ж</b> 5-С	<b>M</b> 10-C	<b>M</b> 25	<b>Ж</b> 50-С	<b>U</b> ndet-C		
of center	Multiple of 1	0	1	1	0	0	0		
response	Multiple of 5	0	4	11	0	0	0		
to working	Multiple of 10	6	61	339	12	9	5		
past 62	25 or 75	3	17	97	24	5	1		
in 2016	50	3	34	414	36	32	3		

NOTES: Sub-sample size = 1,118 (after dropping 1 observation for which rounding type missing). Percentage of consistent cases in the center = 95.71% (green-colored cells).

Panel C. Percent Chance Mutual Funds Increase in Value by Next Year, Tail Responses – Abs. freq.

	Inferred tail rounding type in health domain based on algorithm and 2002-2014 data								
Granularity		<i>M</i> 1-T	<b>M</b> 5-T	<b>M</b> 10-T	<i>M</i> 50-T	<b>U</b> ndet-T			
of tail response	Multiple of 1	71	59	58	2	0			
to stock market	Multiple of 5	73	131	104	7	0			
goes up in 1 year	Multiple of 10	371	968	1163	31	0			
to in 2016	0 or 100	191	335	887	122	0			

NOTES: Sub-sample size = 4,573, (after dropping 14 observations for which rounding type missing). Percentage of consistent cases in the tails = 94.29% (green-colored cells).

Panel D. Percent Chance Mutual Funds Increase in Value by Next Year, Center Responses – Abs. freq.

	Inferred center i	Inferred center rounding type in health domain based on algorithm and 2002-2014 data								
Granularity		<b>M</b> 1-C	<b>M</b> 5-C	<b>M</b> 10-C	<b>M</b> 25	<b>Ж</b> 50-С	<b>U</b> ndet-C			
of center	Multiple of 1	4	4	4	1	0	0			
response	Multiple of 5	6	75	95	4	4	1			
to stock market	Multiple of 10	24	412	2214	96	109	26			
goes up in 1 year	25 or 75	8	118	599	110	33	5			
in 2016	50	32	425	3212	428	389	34			

NOTES: Sub-sample size = 8,472 (after dropping 10 observations for which rounding type missing). Percentage of consistent cases in the center = 96.39% (green-colored cells).